

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.: 4769-01
BILL NO.: HJR 76
SUBJECT: Constitutional Amendments: Bonds - Bail
TYPE: Original
DATE: May 5, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
General Revenue	(\$43,800)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
State School Moneys	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(\$43,800)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	Unknown	Unknown

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **State Courts Administrator** stated that the proposal would not affect the courts, administratively. They noted that, under current constitutional provisions, forfeited bail is distributed to public schools.

Oversight notes that the proposal would divert ten percent of these monies from school districts to local law enforcement agencies. Any moneys lost by school districts due to the proposed change in distributions would be made up by additional payments through the Foundation Formula (as long as the Formula would be at least fully funded). Oversight does not know how much money would be distributed to law enforcement agencies instead of school districts and will, therefore show the fiscal impact as an unknown cost to state general revenue.

Advertisement costs for the proposal would be \$4,380 per newspaper column inch for three printings of the text of the proposal, the introduction, title, fiscal note summary, and affidavit. The proposal would be on the ballot for the November 2000 general election.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------	---------	---------

GENERAL REVENUE FUND

<u>Cost</u> - Secretary of State			
Newspaper Advertisements	(\$43,800)		
<u>Cost</u> - Increased transfers to State School Moneys Fund	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$43,800)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND

<u>Income</u> - Increased transfers from General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Cost</u> - Increased distributions to School Districts	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government

FY 2001

FY 2002

FY 2003

POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

<u>Income - Law Enforcement Agencies:</u> 10% of forfeited bail bonds	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Income - School Districts:</u> Increased distributions from State School Moneys Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Loss - School Districts:</u> 10% of forfeited bail bonds	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATE NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would require that ten percent of bail received by courts be distributed to local law enforcement agencies. Currently, these monies would be distributed to school districts.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Secretary of State
State Courts Administrator



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director
May 5, 2000